

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80314
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF VIBRATORY INHIBITION AND PELVIC STABILIZATION EXERCISE ON TONE, BALANCE AND GROSS MOTORFUNCTION IN SPASTIC CEREBRAL PALSY- A COMPARATIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1	1) Always use person-first language. 2) Update references as noted. 3) Provide references where noted. 4) Re-write your power analysis. This is confusing. 5) Provide information that confirms diagnosis of CP. 6) Expand information on outcome measures. 7) Explain allocation to groups and any stratification. 8) Define groups by percentages of children at GMFCS Levels. 9) Explain who administered intervention. 10) Discuss how intervention fidelity was maintained. 11) Define "conventional treatment". 12) Provide a follow-up data point or state that all changes are temporary. 13) Soften your statements on your findings to more accurately reflect your data. 14) Provide more information on the vibrator used in the study	Corrections are done
Minor REVISION comments	1) Provide descriptions to the tables so that they may stand alone. 2) Re-write the results section to do more than just describe figures. 3) Work with the copy editor on improving the writing Style, particularly in the results and discussion. 4) Revise the introduction to more closely match the emphasis on vibration for spasticity management in the discussion.	Corrections are done
Optional/General comments	1) Consider referring to "subjects" as "participants" . 2) Provide minimal clinically important differences for the GMFM and analyse the changes between groups with this more clinically relevant data.	Corrections are done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	